

EXAMPLE (CONTINUED):

3. Let $X = C([0,1])$, $\|f\| = \sup_{x \in [0,1]} |f(x)|$.

$A_k: D_k \subset X \longrightarrow X$, $(A_k f)(x) = \frac{d}{dx} f(x)$, $k=1,2,3,4$.

$D_1 := C^1([0,1])$

$D_2 := \{f \in D_1 : f(0) = 0\}$

$D_3 := \{f \in D_1 : f(0) = f(1)\}$ (periodic bound. cond.)

$D_4 := \{f \in D_1 : f(0) = 0 = f(1)\}$ (Dirichlet b.c.)

All A_k are closed.

$$\sigma(A_1) = \sigma_p(A_1) = \mathbb{C}$$

$$\sigma(A_2) = \sigma_p(A_2) = \emptyset$$

$$\sigma(A_3) = \sigma_p(A_3) = 2\pi i \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\sigma(A_4) = \mathbb{C}, \sigma_p(A_4) = \emptyset$$

PROOF: • Closedness: Let $(e_n) \subset D_1$, $e_n \rightarrow e$
and $A_1 e_n = e_n' \rightarrow \psi$ in X .

This means: $e_n \rightarrow e$ uniformly, $e_n' \rightarrow \psi$ uniformly.

As we know from real analysis:

$\Rightarrow e \in C^1([0,1]) = D_1$ and $e' = \psi$. $\Rightarrow A_1$ is closed.

Furthermore: if $e_n \in D_k$ ($k=2,3,4$) also $e \in D_k$
 $\Rightarrow A_2, A_3, A_4$ also closed.

• $\sigma(A_1) = \sigma_p(A_1) = \mathbb{C}$: $x \mapsto e^{\lambda x}$ are eigenv. in D_1 for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

• $\sigma(A_2) = \emptyset$: We construct the resolvent explicitly:

Solve $(A_2 - \lambda)f = g$, $g \in X$, for $f \in D_2$:

Find $f \in C^1(I)$ with $f(0) = 0$ s.t. $f' - \lambda f = g$.

Unique solution of the ODE: $f(x) = \int_0^x e^{\lambda(x-t)} g(t) dt =: (Sg)(x)$.

We have: S is bounded, $SX \subset D_2^0$ and $(A_2 - \lambda)Sg = g$.

To show: $S(A_2 - \lambda)g = g$ for $g \in D_2$.

$$\begin{aligned} S(A_2 - \lambda)g(x) &= \int_0^x e^{\lambda(x-t)} (g'(t) - \lambda g(t)) dt \\ &= g(x). \quad \Rightarrow S = R_\lambda(A_2). \end{aligned}$$

• $\sigma(A_3), \sigma(A_4)$: exercise. ▣

PROP. 1.1: Let $A: D \subset X \rightarrow X$ a linear operator, $\lambda, \mu \in \rho(A)$.

Then:

$$(i) \quad R_\lambda(A) - R_\mu(A) = (\lambda - \mu) R_\lambda(A) R_\mu(A)$$

$$(ii) \quad R_\lambda(A) R_\mu(A) = R_\mu(A) R_\lambda(A)$$

$$(iii) \quad R_\lambda(A) A \subset A R_\lambda(A)$$

$$(iv) \quad A R_\lambda(A) = \mathbb{1} + \lambda R_\lambda(A).$$

PROOF: (i) $(A - \lambda)^{-1} - (A - \mu)^{-1} = (A - \lambda)^{-1} [(A - \mu) - (A - \lambda)] (A - \mu)^{-1}$
 $= (\lambda - \mu) (A - \lambda)^{-1} (A - \mu)^{-1}.$

(ii) from (i).

(iii), (iv): $\forall x \in D: R_\lambda(A) Ax = R_\lambda(A) (A - \lambda)x + \lambda R_\lambda(A)x$
 $= x + \lambda R_\lambda(A)x.$

$$\forall x \in X: A R_\lambda(A)x = (A - \lambda) R_\lambda(A)x + \lambda R_\lambda(A)x$$
$$= x + \lambda R_\lambda(A)x.$$



DEF: Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ open. A function $L: U \subset \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$,
 $z \mapsto L(z)$, is called analytic if
the complex-valued function

$$z \mapsto f(L(z)x) \in \mathbb{C}$$

is analytic $\forall x \in X, \forall f \in X^*$.

\curvearrowright dual space of X , bounded linear maps
 $X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Recall: a function $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is analytic if every point has a
neighbourhood where f is represented by its Taylor
series



f is complex differentiable (holomorphic).

The next lemma is fundamental for most of what we do next.

In fact, for now it is the only method we have for constructing inverse operators, so it is our main tool for constructing resolvents.

LEMMA 1.2: (Neumann series, simplified)

Let X a Banach space, $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$, with $\|T\| < 1$.

Then $\mathbb{1} - T$ is bijective and $(\mathbb{1} - T)^{-1}$ is also bounded.

Explicitly: $(\mathbb{1} - T)^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T^n$ (geometric series).

PROOF: Let $S_k = \sum_{n=0}^k T^n$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \|S_\ell - S_k\| &= \left\| \sum_{n=k+1}^{\ell} T^n \right\| \\ &\leq \sum_{n=k+1}^{\ell} \|T\|^n \leq \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} \|T\|^n \longrightarrow 0 \\ &\quad (k \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

So S_ℓ is Cauchy.

Since $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is a Banach space: $\Rightarrow S = \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} S_\ell$ exists.

We still have to prove that S is actually the inverse.

This is the same calc. as for the ordinary geom. series:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbb{1} - T)S &\stackrel{(k \rightarrow \infty)}{\longleftarrow} (\mathbb{1} - T)S_k = (\mathbb{1} - T) \sum_{n=0}^k T^n = \sum_{n=0}^k T^n - \sum_{n=0}^k T^{n+1} \\ &\text{because } T \text{ is bounded} \\ &= \mathbb{1} - T^{k+1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{1} \text{ because } \|T^{k+1}\| \\ &\quad = \|T\|^{k+1} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

In the same way we show $S(\mathbb{1} - T) = \mathbb{1}$. ▣

THEOREM 1.3: Let $A: D \subset X \rightarrow X$ a linear operator. Then:

(i) $S(A)$ is open, $\sigma(A)$ closed, and the resolvent $z \mapsto (A-z)^{-1}$ is analytic on $S(A)$.

$B_R(z)$ = ball
of radius R
around z

For $z_0 \in S(A)$ also $B_{\|R_{z_0}(A)\|^{-1}}(z_0) \subset S(A)$;

and for all z in this ball:

$$R_z(A) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R_{z_0}(A)^{n+1} (z-z_0)^n.$$

(ii) $\|R_{z_0}(A)\| \geq \frac{1}{\text{dist}(z_0, \sigma(A))}$.

PROOF:

(i) Let $z_0 \in \sigma(A)$. $\forall z \in \mathbb{C}$:

$$(*) \quad A - z = A - z_0 - (z - z_0) = \underbrace{[1 - (z - z_0)R_{z_0}(A)]}_{\substack{\text{Need to show:} \\ \text{this has bounded} \\ \text{inverse.}}} \underbrace{(A - z_0)}_{\substack{\text{has a bounded} \\ \text{inverse} \\ \text{because } z_0 \in \sigma(A)}}$$

We use the Neumann series to do so:

(**) If $\|(z - z_0)R_{z_0}(A)\| < 1$, then

$$1 - (z - z_0)R_{z_0}(A) \text{ has inverse } \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R_{z_0}(A)^n (z - z_0)^n.$$

$$\Rightarrow z \in \sigma(A) \text{ and } R_z(A) = R_{z_0}(A) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R_{z_0}(A)^n (z - z_0)^n$$

(in particular, $z \mapsto R_z(A)$ analytic).

(ii) If $z \in \text{spectrum}$: from (*) and (**) it is clear that $(z - z_0)R_{z_0}(A)$ cannot be bounded by one:

$$\text{So } \forall z \in \sigma(A): |z - z_0| \cdot \|R_{z_0}(A)\| \geq 1.$$

$$\Rightarrow \|R_{z_0}(A)\| \geq \frac{1}{\inf_{z \in \sigma(A)} |z - z_0|} = \frac{1}{\text{dist}(z_0, \sigma(A))}.$$

THM 1.4: (Liouville) If a function is analytic on all of \mathbb{C} and bounded, then it is constant.

PROOF: for \mathbb{C} -valued fct.: e.g. Rudin - Real & Complex Analysis.
for op-valued fct.: use \mathbb{C} -valued version, our above def. of analytic, and unifon boundedness principle.

COROLLARY 1.5: Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(X)$. Then
 (i) $\sigma(A) \subset \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq \|A\|\}$.
 (ii) $\sigma(A) \neq \emptyset$.

PROOF: (i) Let $|z| > \|A\|$. Write

$$(z - A) = z \underbrace{(\mathbb{1} - z^{-1}A)}_{\text{has inverse because } \|z^{-1}A\| < 1} : X \rightarrow X.$$

has inverse

$$\Rightarrow z \in \sigma(A).$$

(ii) The inverse $\Rightarrow R_z(A) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (z^{-1}A)^n z^{-1}$. (Neumann series) (**)

Now we show by contradiction that $\sigma(A)$ can not be empty:

Assume $\sigma(A) = \emptyset$.

Then: $z \mapsto (z - A)^{-1}$ is analytic on all of \mathbb{C} , and bounded
 because $\|(z - A)^{-1}\| \stackrel{(**)}{\leq} \frac{1}{|z|} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|z^{-1}A\|^n \stackrel{\text{conv. geom. series}}{=} \frac{1}{|z| - \|A\|} \xrightarrow{(|z| \rightarrow \infty)} 0 \quad (**)$

So $(z - A)^{-1}$ is decaying at ∞ , and continuous (even analytic),
 so $z \mapsto \|(z - A)^{-1}\|$ is bounded on \mathbb{C} .

\Rightarrow By Liouville: $R_z(A) = \text{const.}$

because $(**) \rightarrow 0$ ($|z| \rightarrow \infty$): $R_z(A) = 0 \forall z$.

But at the same time: $(A - z)R_z(A) = 1$ (because we assumed that all z are in the resolvent set)

Contradiction \downarrow .



II SYMMETRIC & SELF-ADJOINT OPERATORS

Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space.

DEF: Let $A: D(A) \subset \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ linear and densely defined ($\overline{D(A)} = \mathcal{H}$).

The adjoint operator $A^*: D(A^*) \subset \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is defined as follows:

If for $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$ there exists $\psi^* \in \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$\langle \psi, A\eta \rangle = \langle \psi^*, \eta \rangle \quad \forall \eta \in D(A),$$

then we say $\psi \in D(A^*)$ and set $A^*\psi := \psi^*$.

CHECK: ψ^* is unique, and $\psi \mapsto \psi^* = A^*\psi$ is linear.

RMK: $A \subset B \Rightarrow A^* \supset B^*$.

• It can happen that $D(A^*) = \{0\}$.

• " $A: D \subset \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ " means always a linear densely defined operator domain D (unless something else is explicitly specified).

PROP. 2.1: For any $A: D \subset \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, A^* is closed.

PROOF: Consider a convergent sequence in Γ_{A^*} . Have to show that also the limit is in Γ_{A^*} .

Let $e_n \in D(A^*)$, $e_n \rightarrow e$ and $A^*e_n \rightarrow \psi$.

$$\forall y \in D(A): \langle e, Ay \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle e_n, Ay \rangle \quad \text{because scalar products are always continuous in their arguments}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle A^*e_n, y \rangle \\ &= \langle \psi, y \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

$e_n \in D(A^*)$

Thus: $e \in D(A^*)$ and $A^*e = \psi$.

So $(e, \psi) \in \Gamma_{A^*}$. ■

DEF: $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ are orthogonal if $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$. Notation: $x \perp y$.

Let $M \subset \mathcal{A}$ a set. Orthogonal complement: $M^\perp := \{x \in V: x \perp y \forall y \in M\}$.

RMK: • M^\perp is a closed subspace.

• $M \subset (M^\perp)^\perp$. If M is a subspace: $(M^\perp)^\perp = \overline{M}$.

• $(\overline{M})^\perp = M^\perp$.

DEF: Let $A: D \subset \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$.

Kernel of A: $\ker(A) := \{e \in D: Ae = 0\}$.

PROP. 2.2: Let $A: D \subset \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ densely defined.

Then: $\ker(A^*) = (\text{ran } A)^\perp$.

In particular: $\bullet (\text{ran } A)^\perp \subset D(A^*)$

$\bullet \ker A^* = \{0\} \Rightarrow \overline{\text{ran } A} = \mathcal{H}$.

PROOF: $\bullet \varphi \in \ker A^* \stackrel{\text{by density}}{\Leftrightarrow} \langle A^* \varphi, \eta \rangle = 0 \quad \forall \eta \in D(A)$
 $\Leftrightarrow \langle \varphi, A\eta \rangle = 0 \quad \forall \eta \in D(A)$
 $\Leftrightarrow \varphi \in (\text{ran } A)^\perp$.

$\bullet (\text{ran } A)^\perp \subset D(A^*)$ is clear because $\ker(A^*) \subset D(A^*)$ by def.

\bullet For $\{0\} = \ker A^*$:

$$\mathcal{H} = \{0\}^\perp = (\ker A^*)^\perp = (\text{ran } A^\perp)^\perp = \overline{\text{ran } A}.$$



DEF: Let $A: D(A) \subset \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be densely defined.

A is symmetric if $A \subset A^*$, i.e. $\langle A\varphi, \eta \rangle = \langle \varphi, A\eta \rangle \quad \forall \varphi, \eta \in D(A)$.

A is selfadjoint if $A = A^*$ (i.e. symmetric and $D(A^*) = D(A)$).

RMK: For bounded operator: symmetric = selfadjoint = hermitian.

THM: (Hellinger - Töplitz)

Let \mathcal{H} a Hilbert space and $A: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ linear and symmetric, i.e. $\langle y, Ax \rangle = \langle Ay, x \rangle \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{H}$.

Then A is bounded.

PROOF: on Friday.

uses Closed-Graph Theorem ...

