

Mean-Field Evolution of Fermionic Systems

Derivation of the time-dependent Hartree-Fock equation.

Niels Benedikter

joint work with Marcello Porta and Benjamin Schlein

Hausdorff Center for Mathematics
University of Bonn



Quantum Mechanical Fermions

- We consider N particles in a fixed volume, e. g. electromagnetic trap.
- State of QM system \sim wavefunction

$$\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N}) \simeq L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)^{\otimes N}.$$

Quantum Mechanical Fermions

- We consider N particles in a fixed volume, e. g. electromagnetic trap.
- State of QM system \sim wavefunction

$$\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N}) \simeq L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)^{\otimes N}.$$

- Fermions have wavefunction antisymmetric w. r. t. permutation of the particles, e. g.:

$$\psi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) = -\psi(x_2, x_1, \dots, x_N) \quad (x_j \in \mathbb{R}^3).$$

Quantum Mechanical Fermions

- We consider N particles in a fixed volume, e. g. electromagnetic trap.
- State of QM system \sim wavefunction

$$\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N}) \simeq L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)^{\otimes N}.$$

- Fermions have wavefunction antisymmetric w. r. t. permutation of the particles, e. g.:

$$\psi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) = -\psi(x_2, x_1, \dots, x_N) \quad (x_j \in \mathbb{R}^3).$$

- Let \mathcal{A} = projection on antisymmetric subspace. Restrict to

$$\psi \in \mathcal{A}L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N}).$$

- \rightsquigarrow Pauli exclusion principle: no two particles in the same orbital!

$$\mathcal{A}(\varphi \otimes \varphi \otimes \varphi_1 \dots \otimes \varphi_{N-2}) = 0.$$

Measurements and the Reduced Density

- In QM: observable \sim self-adjoint operator O on $\mathcal{A}L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$.
- Experiments to be compared to expectation values

$$\langle \psi, O\psi \rangle \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Measurements and the Reduced Density

- In QM: observable \sim self-adjoint operator O on $\mathcal{A}L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$.
- Experiments to be compared to expectation values

$$\langle \psi, O\psi \rangle \in \mathbb{R}.$$

- One-particle observables O on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi, O_1\psi \rangle &= \int dx_1 \dots dx_N \bar{\psi}(x_1, x_2, \dots) \int dy O(x_1; y) \psi(y, x_2, \dots) \\ &= \int dx_1 dy O(x_1; y) \underbrace{\int dx_2 \dots dx_N \psi(y, x_2, \dots) \bar{\psi}(x_1, x_2, \dots)}_{= \text{tr}_{2, \dots, N} |\psi\rangle\langle\psi| =: \gamma_\psi \quad \text{partial trace}} \\ &= \int dx_1 dy O(x_1; y) \gamma_\psi(y; x_1) = \text{tr } O\gamma_\psi. \end{aligned}$$

Measurements and the Reduced Density

- In QM: observable \sim self-adjoint operator O on $\mathcal{A}L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$.
- Experiments to be compared to expectation values

$$\langle \psi, O\psi \rangle \in \mathbb{R}.$$

- One-particle observables O on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \psi, O_1\psi \rangle &= \int dx_1 \dots dx_N \bar{\psi}(x_1, x_2, \dots) \int dy O(x_1; y) \psi(y, x_2, \dots) \\ &= \int dx_1 dy O(x_1; y) \underbrace{\int dx_2 \dots dx_N \psi(y, x_2, \dots) \bar{\psi}(x_1, x_2, \dots)}_{= \text{tr}_{2, \dots, N} |\psi\rangle\langle\psi| =: \gamma_\psi \quad \text{partial trace}} \\ &= \int dx_1 dy O(x_1; y) \gamma_\psi(y; x_1) = \text{tr } O\gamma_\psi.\end{aligned}$$

Expectation values can be calculated from the reduced density

$$\gamma_\psi = \text{tr}_{2, \dots, N} |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|.$$

- Exact time evolution: Schrödinger equation

$$i\partial_t\psi_t = H\psi_t, \quad \psi_{t=0} = \psi_0,$$

where H is the Hamilton operator

$$H = \sum_{j=1}^N -\Delta_{x_j} + \lambda \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} V(x_i - x_j).$$

Time Evolution

- Exact time evolution: Schrödinger equation

$$i\partial_t\psi_t = H\psi_t, \quad \psi_{t=0} = \psi_0,$$

where H is the Hamilton operator

$$H = \sum_{j=1}^N -\Delta_{x_j} + \lambda \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} V(x_i - x_j).$$

- Solution:

$$\psi_t = e^{-iHt}\psi_0.$$

- In physical systems N is huge, $N \sim 10^3 - 10^{58}$.

Goal: Find more accessible equation that gives an approximation to γ_{ψ_t} .
Estimate error for $N \gg 1$.

Mean-Field Scaling

- Mean-field scaling: high density, weak interaction
 \rightsquigarrow particles move in effective, averaged potential.

Mean-Field Scaling

- Mean-field scaling: high density, weak interaction
 \leadsto particles move in effective, averaged potential.
- Kinetic energy: **Pauli exclusion principle**
 \leadsto fill energy levels up to Fermi momentum $k_{\text{fermi}} = \mathcal{O}(N^{1/3})$.

$$\sum_{j=1}^N -\Delta_{x_j} = \sum_{j=1}^N k_j^2 \simeq \mathcal{O}(N^{5/3}) \quad (\text{c. f. bosons: } \mathcal{O}(N)).$$

Mean-Field Scaling

- Mean-field scaling: high density, weak interaction
 \leadsto particles move in effective, averaged potential.

- Kinetic energy: **Pauli exclusion principle**
 \leadsto fill energy levels up to Fermi momentum $k_{\text{fermi}} = \mathcal{O}(N^{1/3})$.

$$\sum_{j=1}^N -\Delta_{x_j} = \sum_{j=1}^N k_j^2 \simeq \mathcal{O}(N^{5/3}) \quad (\text{c. f. bosons: } \mathcal{O}(N)).$$

- For $N \gg 1$: Evolution non-trivial if kinetic and potential energy are same order of N . $\leadsto \lambda = N^{-1/3}$.

$$i\partial_t \psi_t = \left[\sum_{j=1}^N -\Delta_{x_j} + \frac{1}{N^{1/3}} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} V(x_i - x_j) \right] \psi_t.$$

Semiclassical Time-Scale

- Velocity \sim momentum $\sim k_{\text{fermi}} = \mathcal{O}(N^{1/3})$.

We expect: Can approximate dynamics to times of order $N^{-1/3}$.

Semiclassical Time-Scale

- Velocity \sim momentum $\sim k_{\text{fermi}} = \mathcal{O}(N^{1/3})$.

We expect: Can approximate dynamics to times of order $N^{-1/3}$.

- Introduce semiclassical time τ such that physical time t is $\mathcal{O}(N^{-1/3})$:

$$t = N^{-1/3}\tau \quad \rightsquigarrow$$

$$iN^{1/3}\partial_\tau\psi_\tau = \left[\sum_{j=1}^N -\Delta_{x_j} + \frac{1}{N^{1/3}} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} V(x_i - x_j) \right] \psi_\tau.$$

Semiclassical Time-Scale

- Velocity \sim momentum $\sim k_{\text{fermi}} = \mathcal{O}(N^{1/3})$.

We expect: Can approximate dynamics to times of order $N^{-1/3}$.

- Introduce semiclassical time τ such that physical time t is $\mathcal{O}(N^{-1/3})$:

$$t = N^{-1/3}\tau \quad \rightsquigarrow$$
$$iN^{1/3}\partial_\tau\psi_\tau = \left[\sum_{j=1}^N -\Delta_{x_j} + \frac{1}{N^{1/3}} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} V(x_i - x_j) \right] \psi_\tau.$$

- Introduce semiclassical parameter $\varepsilon = N^{-1/3}$ and multiply with ε^2 :

Combined Semiclassical and Mean-Field scaling:

$$i\varepsilon\partial_\tau\psi_\tau = \left[\sum_{j=1}^N -\varepsilon^2\Delta_{x_j} + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} V(x_i - x_j) \right] \psi_\tau.$$

Hartree-Fock Approximation

- Model with weak interaction should be close to model without interaction.

- N non-interacting fermions in a trap:

$H = \sum_{j=1}^N h_j$, one-particle Hamiltonian $h = -\Delta + V_{\text{trap}}$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Fill N eigenstates $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_N \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ of h with lowest energy \rightsquigarrow

$$\psi_0 = \mathcal{A}(\varphi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \varphi_N) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N}).$$

Hartree-Fock Approximation

- Model with weak interaction should be close to model without interaction.
- N non-interacting fermions in a trap:
 $H = \sum_{j=1}^N h_j$, one-particle Hamiltonian $h = -\Delta + V_{\text{trap}}$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$.
Fill N eigenstates $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_N \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ of h with lowest energy \rightsquigarrow

$$\psi_0 = \mathcal{A}(\varphi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \varphi_N) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N}).$$

- N weakly interacting fermions in a trap:

$$\psi_0 \simeq \mathcal{A}(\varphi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \varphi_N) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N}).$$

Hartree-Fock approximation

Restrict attention to Slater determinants

$$\psi_0 = \mathcal{A}(\varphi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \varphi_N).$$

Hartree-Fock Energy Functional

- For any Slater determinant ψ_0 :

Reminder:

$$H = \sum_j -\epsilon^2 \Delta_j + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i,j} V(x_i - x_j)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi_0, \left(H + \sum_{j=1}^N V_{\text{trap}}(x_j) \right) \psi_0 \rangle &= \int dx \sum_{j=1}^N \left(\epsilon^2 |\nabla \varphi_j|^2 + V_{\text{trap}} |\varphi_j|^2 \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2N} \int dx dy \sum_{i,j=1}^N V(x-y) |\varphi_j(x)|^2 |\varphi_i(y)|^2 \\ &- \frac{1}{2N} \int dx dy \sum_{i,j=1}^N V(x-y) \overline{\varphi_j(x)} \varphi_j(y) \varphi_i(x) \overline{\varphi_i(y)} \\ &=: \mathcal{E}_{\text{HF}}(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_N). \end{aligned}$$

- Minimize $\mathcal{E}_{\text{HF}}(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_N) \rightsquigarrow$ Approximation to ground state.

Hartree-Fock Evolution Equation

- Start with (approximate) ground state ψ_0 in a trap V_{trap} .
- Switch off $V_{\text{trap}} \rightsquigarrow$ Evolution by Schrödinger equation.
- Restricted to Slater determinants:

$$\psi_\tau \simeq \mathcal{A}(\varphi_{1,\tau} \otimes \dots \otimes \varphi_{N,\tau}).$$

Hartree-Fock Evolution Equation

- Start with (approximate) ground state ψ_0 in a trap V_{trap} .
- Switch off $V_{\text{trap}} \rightsquigarrow$ Evolution by Schrödinger equation.
- Restricted to Slater determinants:

$$\psi_\tau \simeq \mathcal{A}(\varphi_{1,\tau} \otimes \dots \otimes \varphi_{N,\tau}).$$

- Evolution of the orbitals deduced from HF energy functional:

$$i\varepsilon \partial_\tau \varphi_{i,\tau} = -\varepsilon^2 \Delta \varphi_{i,\tau} + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \left(V * |\varphi_{j,\tau}|^2 \right) \varphi_{i,\tau} - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \left(V * (\varphi_{i,\tau} \overline{\varphi_{j,\tau}}) \right) \varphi_{j,\tau}.$$

Hartree-Fock Evolution Equation

- Start with (approximate) ground state ψ_0 in a trap V_{trap} .
- Switch off $V_{\text{trap}} \rightsquigarrow$ Evolution by Schrödinger equation.
- Restricted to Slater determinants:

$$\psi_\tau \simeq \mathcal{A}(\varphi_{1,\tau} \otimes \dots \otimes \varphi_{N,\tau}).$$

- Evolution of the orbitals deduced from HF energy functional:

$$i\varepsilon \partial_\tau \varphi_{i,\tau} = -\varepsilon^2 \Delta \varphi_{i,\tau} + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \left(V * |\varphi_{j,\tau}|^2 \right) \varphi_{i,\tau} - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \left(V * (\varphi_{i,\tau} \overline{\varphi_{j,\tau}}) \right) \varphi_{j,\tau}.$$

- Reduced density of Slater determinant: $\omega_\tau = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N |\varphi_{j,\tau}\rangle \langle \varphi_{j,\tau}|$.

Hartree-Fock equation for reduced density:

$$i\varepsilon \partial_\tau \omega_\tau = [-\varepsilon^2 \Delta + V * \rho_\tau - X_\tau, \omega_\tau], \quad \omega_0 = \gamma_0.$$

where $\rho_\tau(x) = \omega_\tau(x; x)$, X_τ integral op. with kernel $X_\tau(x; y) = V(x-y)\omega_\tau(x; y)$.

Accuracy of Hartree-Fock Dynamics

- *Narnhofer, Sewell* '81: Convergence to classical Vlasov equation (= semiclassical limit of HF). Analytic V .
- *Spohn* '81: Vlasov equation for more general potentials.
- *Erdős, Elgart, Schlein, Yau* '04: Convergence to HF. Short times. Analytic V .
- *B, Porta, Schlein* '13: Convergence to HF. Weaker assumptions: $V \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $\int |\hat{V}(p)|(1 + |p|)^2 dp < \infty$. Quantitative bounds on rate of convergence. **Arbitrary times.**

Without semiclassical scaling:

- *Bardos, Golse, Gottlieb, Mauser* '03: Short times, bounded V .
- *Fröhlich, Knowles* '11: Short times, Coulomb potential.

Theorem (B-Porta-Schlein '13)

Let $\{\varphi_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ be an orthonormal basis in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Consider the Slater determinant $\psi_0 = \mathcal{A}(\varphi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \varphi_N)$.

Assume that its reduced density γ_0 satisfies the *semiclassical commutator bounds*

$$\mathrm{tr}|\hat{x}, \gamma_0| \leq \varepsilon C, \quad \mathrm{tr}|\varepsilon \nabla, \gamma_0| \leq \varepsilon C.$$

Let ψ_τ be the solution to the Schrödinger equation with initial data ψ_0 and γ_τ its reduced density.

Let ω_τ be solution to the Hartree-Fock equation with initial data $= \gamma_0$.

Then there exist constants C, c such that for all times $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\mathrm{tr}|\gamma_\tau - \omega_\tau| \leq \frac{C}{N^{5/6}} \exp(c \exp(c|\tau|)).$$

(arXiv:1305.2768)

Extensions of the theorem

We can also treat

- more general initial data with a small number of extra particles that can carry arbitrary correlations,
- relativistic kinetic energy $\sqrt{-\varepsilon^2 \Delta + m^2}$,
- k -particle reduced densities,
- Hilbert-Schmidt norm (rate $N^{-1/2}$).
- There is a subclass of observables ('semiclassical' observables), with expectation values converging at rate N^{-1} .

Notice:

- Exchange term $-X_\tau$ is of subleading order
 \rightsquigarrow the Hartree equation is just as good as Hartree-Fock.

Justification of Semiclassical Commutator Bounds

- Consider as initial data the ground state of non-interacting fermions in a box $[0, 2\pi]^3$ with periodic boundary conditions:

one-particle orbitals = plane waves: $\varphi_j(x) = e^{ik_j x}$, $k_j \in \mathbb{Z}^3$.

Justification of Semiclassical Commutator Bounds

- Consider as initial data the ground state of non-interacting fermions in a box $[0, 2\pi]^3$ with periodic boundary conditions:

one-particle orbitals = plane waves: $\varphi_j(x) = e^{ik_j x}$, $k_j \in \mathbb{Z}^3$.

Reduced density of $\psi_0 = \mathcal{A}(\varphi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \varphi_N)$ is

$$\gamma_0(x; y) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \varphi_j(x) \overline{\varphi_j}(y) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{|k| \leq cN^{1/3}} e^{ik(x-y)}$$

$$\stackrel{q=\varepsilon k}{=} \frac{1}{N} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^3} \sum_{|q| \leq c} \varepsilon^3 e^{iq(x-y)/\varepsilon} \simeq \int_{|q| \leq 1} dq e^{iq(x-y)/\varepsilon} = \varphi\left(\frac{x-y}{\varepsilon}\right).$$

Justification of Semiclassical Commutator Bounds

- Consider as initial data the ground state of non-interacting fermions in a box $[0, 2\pi]^3$ with periodic boundary conditions:

one-particle orbitals = plane waves: $\varphi_j(x) = e^{ik_j x}$, $k_j \in \mathbb{Z}^3$.

Reduced density of $\psi_0 = \mathcal{A}(\varphi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \varphi_N)$ is

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_0(x; y) &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \varphi_j(x) \overline{\varphi_j}(y) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{|k| \leq cN^{1/3}} e^{ik(x-y)} \\ &\stackrel{q=\varepsilon k}{=} \frac{1}{N} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^3} \sum_{|q| \leq c} \varepsilon^3 e^{iq(x-y)/\varepsilon} \simeq \int_{|q| \leq 1} dq e^{iq(x-y)/\varepsilon} = \varphi\left(\frac{x-y}{\varepsilon}\right).\end{aligned}$$

- In more realistic trap, we expect non-trivial configuration space density χ :

$$\gamma_0(x; y) \simeq \varphi\left(\frac{x-y}{\varepsilon}\right) \chi\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right), \quad \varphi, \chi : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

Justification of Semiclassical Commutator Bounds

- First semiclassical estimate:

$$[\hat{x}, \gamma_0](x; y) \simeq (x-y)\varphi\left(\frac{x-y}{\varepsilon}\right)\chi\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \lesssim \varepsilon\varphi\left(\frac{x-y}{\varepsilon}\right)\chi\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right).$$

(We gain ε since the φ -factor is small for $|x-y| \gg \varepsilon$.)

Justification of Semiclassical Commutator Bounds

- First semiclassical estimate:

$$[\hat{x}, \gamma_0](x; y) \simeq (x-y)\varphi\left(\frac{x-y}{\varepsilon}\right)\chi\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \lesssim \varepsilon\varphi\left(\frac{x-y}{\varepsilon}\right)\chi\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right).$$

(We gain ε since the φ -factor is small for $|x-y| \gg \varepsilon$.)

- Second semiclassical estimate:

$$[\varepsilon\nabla, \gamma_0](x; y) = \varepsilon(\nabla_x + \nabla_y)\gamma_0(x, y) \simeq \varepsilon\varphi\left(\frac{x-y}{\varepsilon}\right)\nabla\chi\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right).$$

(Compare to $\varepsilon\nabla_x\gamma_0(x; y) = \varepsilon\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\nabla\varphi\left(\frac{x-y}{\varepsilon}\right)\chi\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) + \dots$)

Justification of Semiclassical Commutator Bounds

- First semiclassical estimate:

$$[\hat{x}, \gamma_0](x; y) \simeq (x-y)\varphi\left(\frac{x-y}{\varepsilon}\right)\chi\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \lesssim \varepsilon\varphi\left(\frac{x-y}{\varepsilon}\right)\chi\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right).$$

(We gain ε since the φ -factor is small for $|x-y| \gg \varepsilon$.)

- Second semiclassical estimate:

$$[\varepsilon\nabla, \gamma_0](x; y) = \varepsilon(\nabla_x + \nabla_y)\gamma_0(x, y) \simeq \varepsilon\varphi\left(\frac{x-y}{\varepsilon}\right)\nabla\chi\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right).$$

(Compare to $\varepsilon\nabla_x\gamma_0(x; y) = \varepsilon\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\nabla\varphi\left(\frac{x-y}{\varepsilon}\right)\chi\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) + \dots$)

Proposition

The semiclassical commutator bounds are stable w. r. t. the Hartree-Fock equation: If initial data satisfies the semiclassical commutator bounds, then

$$\mathrm{tr}|\hat{x}, \omega_\tau| \leq \varepsilon C e^{K|\tau|}, \quad \mathrm{tr}|\varepsilon\nabla, \omega_\tau| \leq \varepsilon C e^{K|\tau|}.$$

Strategy of Proof

Outline:

- 1 Lift theory to Fock space (second quantization).
- 2 Particle-hole transformation \leadsto Slater determinant = transformed vacuum (Fermi sea).
- 3 Reduced problem: Bound creation of excitations over the Fermi sea.
- 4 By Grönwall, it is sufficient to prove

$$\varepsilon \frac{d}{d\tau} \langle U(\tau)\Omega, \mathcal{N}U(\tau)\Omega \rangle \leq \varepsilon C(\tau) \langle U(\tau)\Omega, \mathcal{N}U(\tau)\Omega \rangle.$$

$U(\tau)$: dynamics of excitations.

- 5 In time derivative: Quadratic terms $\sim a^\# a^\#$ completely cancel against the Hartree-Fock equation.
- 6 Quartic terms $\sim a^\# a^\# a^\# a^\#$ remain.
- 7 To bound quartics with $\varepsilon \mathcal{N}$, use semiclassical commutator bounds.

Second Quantization

■ Fermionic Fock space

$$\mathcal{F} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{A}L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3n}, dx_1 \dots dx_n)$$

$$\psi = (\psi^{(0)}, \psi^{(1)}, \dots, \psi^{(n)}, \dots) \in \mathcal{F}$$

Second Quantization

■ Fermionic Fock space

$$\mathcal{F} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{A}L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3n}, dx_1 \dots dx_n)$$

$$\psi = (\psi^{(0)}, \psi^{(1)}, \dots, \psi^{(n)}, \dots) \in \mathcal{F}$$

■ Creation/annihilation operators $a(f)$, $a^*(f)$, where $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$:

$$[a^*(f)\psi]^{(n)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{j-1} \sqrt{n} f(x_j) \psi^{(n-1)}(x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_j, \dots, x_n)$$

$$[a(f)\psi]^{(n)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sqrt{n+1} \int dx \bar{f}(x) \psi^{(n+1)}(x, x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

Second Quantization

- Fermionic Fock space

$$\mathcal{F} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{A}L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3n}, dx_1 \dots dx_n)$$

$$\psi = (\psi^{(0)}, \psi^{(1)}, \dots, \psi^{(n)}, \dots) \in \mathcal{F}$$

- Creation/annihilation operators $a(f)$, $a^*(f)$, where $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$:

$$[a^*(f)\psi]^{(n)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{j-1} \sqrt{n} f(x_j) \psi^{(n-1)}(x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_j, \dots, x_n)$$

$$[a(f)\psi]^{(n)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sqrt{n+1} \int dx \bar{f}(x) \psi^{(n+1)}(x, x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

- Canonical anticommutation relations ($\{A, B\} = AB + BA$):

$$\{a(f), a^*(g)\} = \langle f, g \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \quad \{a(f), a(g)\} = \{a^*(f), a^*(g)\} = 0.$$

Second Quantization

- Operator valued distributions:
 a_x^* , a_x create/annihilate Dirac delta function at $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ (formally).

Second Quantization

- Operator valued distributions:
 a_x^* , a_x create/annihilate Dirac delta function at $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ (formally).
- Hamiltonian extended to act on Fock space \mathcal{F} :

$$\mathcal{H} = \varepsilon^2 \int dx \nabla a_x^* \nabla a_x + \frac{1}{2N} \int dx dy V(x-y) a_x^* a_y^* a_y a_x$$

On $\psi^{(N)}$ with exactly N particles $\mathcal{H} = H$.

Second Quantization

- Operator valued distributions:
 a_x^* , a_x create/annihilate Dirac delta function at $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ (formally).
- Hamiltonian extended to act on Fock space \mathcal{F} :

$$\mathcal{H} = \varepsilon^2 \int dx \nabla a_x^* \nabla a_x + \frac{1}{2N} \int dx dy V(x-y) a_x^* a_y^* a_y a_x$$

On $\psi^{(N)}$ with exactly N particles $\mathcal{H} = H$.

- A product of a^* 's and a 's is called **normal-ordered**, if the a^* are to the left of the a , e. g. $a^* a^* \cdots a^* a a \cdots a$.
- Rule of thumb: Normal-ordered products can be estimated with number-of-particles operator

$$\mathcal{N} = \int dx a_x^* a_x \quad (\text{or powers of it}).$$

Particle-Hole Transformation

Redefine notion of particle s. th. “particle = excitation over Slater determinant”. Implement as a unitary $R : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$.

Particle-Hole Transformation

Redefine notion of particle s. th. “particle = excitation over Slater determinant”. Implement as a unitary $R : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$.

- Extend $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_N$ to o. n. b. $\{\varphi_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Particle-Hole Transformation

Redefine notion of particle s. th. “particle = excitation over Slater determinant”. Implement as a unitary $R : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$.

- Extend $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_N$ to o. n. b. $\{\varphi_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$.
- Introduce a Bogoliubov transformation R :

$$R a_x R^* = a(u_x) + a^*(v_x),$$

$$\text{where } u_x(y) = \delta(y-x) - \sum_{j=1}^N \varphi_j(y) \bar{\varphi}_j(x) \text{ and } v_x(y) = \sum_{j=1}^N \varphi_j(y) \varphi_j(x).$$

Particle-Hole Transformation

Redefine notion of particle s. th. “particle = excitation over Slater determinant”. Implement as a unitary $R : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$.

- Extend $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_N$ to o. n. b. $\{\varphi_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$.
- Introduce a Bogoliubov transformation R :

$$R a_x R^* = a(u_x) + a^*(v_x),$$

where $u_x(y) = \delta(y-x) - \sum_{j=1}^N \varphi_j(y) \bar{\varphi}_j(x)$ and $v_x(y) = \sum_{j=1}^N \varphi_j(y) \varphi_j(x)$.

- Transformed vacuum is Slater determinant (Fermi sea):

$$R\Omega = \mathcal{A}(\varphi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \varphi_N).$$

Particle-Hole Transformation

Redefine notion of particle s. th. “particle = excitation over Slater determinant”. Implement as a unitary $R : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$.

- Extend $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_N$ to o. n. b. $\{\varphi_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$.
- Introduce a Bogoliubov transformation R :

$$R a_x R^* = a(u_x) + a^*(v_x),$$

where $u_x(y) = \delta(y-x) - \sum_{j=1}^N \varphi_j(y) \bar{\varphi}_j(x)$ and $v_x(y) = \sum_{j=1}^N \varphi_j(y) \varphi_j(x)$.

- Transformed vacuum is Slater determinant (Fermi sea):

$$R\Omega = \mathcal{A}(\varphi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \varphi_N).$$

- Transformed creation operators:

$$R a^*(\varphi_i) R^* = \begin{cases} a(\varphi_i) & \text{for } i \leq N \quad (\text{creates hole}) \\ a^*(\varphi_i) & \text{for } i > N \quad (\text{creates particle}). \end{cases}$$

- General identity:

$$\gamma_\tau(y; x) = \frac{1}{N} \langle \psi_\tau, a_x^* a_y \psi_\tau \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \langle e^{-i\mathcal{H}\tau/\varepsilon} R\Omega, a_x^* a_y e^{-i\mathcal{H}\tau/\varepsilon} R\Omega \rangle.$$

- General identity:

$$\gamma_\tau(y; x) = \frac{1}{N} \langle \psi_\tau, a_x^* a_y \psi_\tau \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \langle e^{-i\mathcal{H}\tau/\varepsilon} R\Omega, a_x^* a_y e^{-i\mathcal{H}\tau/\varepsilon} R\Omega \rangle.$$

- Let R_τ be the particle-hole transformation with Hartree-Fock-evolved Fermi sea, i. e. $R_\tau\Omega = \mathcal{A}(\varphi_{1,\tau} \otimes \dots \otimes \varphi_{N,\tau})$.

$$\begin{aligned} N\gamma_t(y; x) &= \langle e^{-i\mathcal{H}\tau/\varepsilon} R\Omega, a_x^* a_y e^{-i\mathcal{H}\tau/\varepsilon} R\Omega \rangle \\ &= \langle R_\tau^* e^{-i\mathcal{H}\tau/\varepsilon} R\Omega, R_\tau^* a_x^* a_y R_\tau R_\tau^* e^{-i\mathcal{H}\tau/\varepsilon} R\Omega \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$U(\tau) := R_\tau^* e^{-i\mathcal{H}\tau/\varepsilon} R$: dynamics of excitations over HF evolution.

- General identity:

$$\gamma_\tau(y; x) = \frac{1}{N} \langle \psi_\tau, a_x^* a_y \psi_\tau \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \langle e^{-i\mathcal{H}\tau/\varepsilon} R\Omega, a_x^* a_y e^{-i\mathcal{H}\tau/\varepsilon} R\Omega \rangle.$$

- Let R_τ be the particle-hole transformation with Hartree-Fock-evolved Fermi sea, i. e. $R_\tau\Omega = \mathcal{A}(\varphi_{1,\tau} \otimes \dots \otimes \varphi_{N,\tau})$.

$$\begin{aligned} N\gamma_t(y; x) &= \langle e^{-i\mathcal{H}\tau/\varepsilon} R\Omega, a_x^* a_y e^{-i\mathcal{H}\tau/\varepsilon} R\Omega \rangle \\ &= \langle R_\tau^* e^{-i\mathcal{H}\tau/\varepsilon} R\Omega, R_\tau^* a_x^* a_y R_\tau R_\tau^* e^{-i\mathcal{H}\tau/\varepsilon} R\Omega \rangle \\ &= \langle U(\tau)\Omega, (a^*(u_{\tau,x}) + a(v_{\tau,x})) (a(u_{\tau,y}) + a^*(v_{\tau,x})) U(\tau)\Omega \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$U(\tau) := R_\tau^* e^{-i\mathcal{H}\tau/\varepsilon} R$: dynamics of excitations over HF evolution.

- General identity:

$$\gamma_\tau(y; x) = \frac{1}{N} \langle \psi_\tau, a_x^* a_y \psi_\tau \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \langle e^{-i\mathcal{H}\tau/\varepsilon} R\Omega, a_x^* a_y e^{-i\mathcal{H}\tau/\varepsilon} R\Omega \rangle.$$

- Let R_τ be the particle-hole transformation with Hartree-Fock-evolved Fermi sea, i. e. $R_\tau\Omega = \mathcal{A}(\varphi_{1,\tau} \otimes \dots \otimes \varphi_{N,\tau})$.

$$\begin{aligned} N\gamma_t(y; x) &= \langle e^{-i\mathcal{H}\tau/\varepsilon} R\Omega, a_x^* a_y e^{-i\mathcal{H}\tau/\varepsilon} R\Omega \rangle \\ &= \langle R_\tau^* e^{-i\mathcal{H}\tau/\varepsilon} R\Omega, R_\tau^* a_x^* a_y R_\tau R_\tau^* e^{-i\mathcal{H}\tau/\varepsilon} R\Omega \rangle \\ &= \langle U(\tau)\Omega, (a^*(u_{\tau,x}) + a(v_{\tau,x})) (a(u_{\tau,y}) + a^*(v_{\tau,x})) U(\tau)\Omega \rangle \\ &= \langle U(\tau)\Omega, (a^*(u_{\tau,x})a(u_{\tau,y}) + a(v_{\tau,x})a(u_{\tau,y}) + a^*(u_{\tau,x})a^*(v_{\tau,y}) \\ &\quad - a^*(v_{\tau,y})a(v_{\tau,x})) U(\tau)\Omega \rangle + \underbrace{\{a(v_{\tau,x}), a^*(v_{\tau,y})\}}_{= \langle v_{\tau,x}, v_{\tau,y} \rangle = N\omega_\tau(y;x)} \end{aligned}$$

$U(\tau) := R_\tau^* e^{-i\mathcal{H}\tau/\varepsilon} R$: dynamics of excitations over HF evolution.

Error \lesssim Number of Excitations

- \rightsquigarrow Identity:

$$\begin{aligned} & \gamma_\tau(y; x) - \omega_\tau(y; x) \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \langle U(\tau)\Omega, (a^*(u_{\tau,x})a(u_{\tau,y}) + a(v_{\tau,x})a(u_{\tau,y}) + a^*(u_{\tau,x})a^*(v_{\tau,y}) \\ & \quad - a^*(v_{\tau,y})a(v_{\tau,x})) U(\tau)\Omega \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

- Operators are normal-ordered \rightsquigarrow can be bounded with the number-of-particles operator $\mathcal{N} = \int dx a_x^* a_x$.

$$\text{tr}|\gamma_\tau - \omega_\tau| \lesssim \frac{C}{N^{5/6}} \langle U(\tau)\Omega, \mathcal{N} U(\tau)\Omega \rangle.$$

- To show: $\varepsilon \frac{d}{d\tau} \langle U(\tau)\Omega, \mathcal{N} U(\tau)\Omega \rangle \leq \varepsilon C(\tau) \langle U(\tau)\Omega, (\mathcal{N} + 1) U(\tau)\Omega \rangle$.
(Then by Grönwall's lemma $\langle U(\tau)\Omega, \mathcal{N} U(\tau)\Omega \rangle \leq \tilde{C}(\tau)$.)

Cancellations against Hartree-Fock Equation

- Time derivative:

$$i\varepsilon \frac{d}{d\tau} U^*(\tau) \mathcal{N} U(\tau) = U^*(\tau) R_\tau^* \left(d\Gamma(i\varepsilon \partial_\tau \omega_\tau) - [\mathcal{H}_N, d\Gamma(\omega_\tau)] \right) R_\tau U(\tau),$$

where $d\Gamma(O) = \int dx O(x; y) a_x^* a_y$.

Cancellations against Hartree-Fock Equation

- Time derivative:

$$i\varepsilon \frac{d}{d\tau} U^*(\tau) \mathcal{N} U(\tau) = U^*(\tau) R_\tau^* \left(d\Gamma(i\varepsilon \partial_\tau \omega_\tau) - [\mathcal{H}_N, d\Gamma(\omega_\tau)] \right) R_\tau U(\tau),$$

where $d\Gamma(O) = \int dx O(x; y) a_x^* a_y$.

- $R_\tau^* [\mathcal{H}_N, d\Gamma(\omega_\tau)] R_\tau$: quartic, but **not normal-ordered**.
By normal-ordering: quadratic + quartic terms.
- **Hartree-Fock equation for $d\Gamma(i\varepsilon \partial_\tau \omega_\tau) \rightsquigarrow$ all quadratic terms cancel.**

Cancellations against Hartree-Fock Equation

- Time derivative:

$$i\varepsilon \frac{d}{d\tau} U^*(\tau) \mathcal{N} U(\tau) = U^*(\tau) R_\tau^* \left(d\Gamma(i\varepsilon \partial_\tau \omega_\tau) - [\mathcal{H}_N, d\Gamma(\omega_\tau)] \right) R_\tau U(\tau),$$

where $d\Gamma(O) = \int dx O(x; y) a_x^* a_y$.

- $R_\tau^* [\mathcal{H}_N, d\Gamma(\omega_\tau)] R_\tau$: quartic, but **not normal-ordered**.
By normal-ordering: quadratic + quartic terms.
- **Hartree-Fock equation for $d\Gamma(i\varepsilon \partial_\tau \omega_\tau) \rightsquigarrow$ all quadratic terms cancel.**
- Remaining:

$$\begin{aligned} & \varepsilon \frac{d}{d\tau} \langle U(\tau) \Omega, \mathcal{N} U(\tau) \Omega \rangle \\ & \simeq \frac{1}{N} \int dx dy V(x-y) \langle U(\tau) \Omega, a(u_{\tau,x}) a(v_{\tau,x}) a(v_{\tau,y}) a(u_{\tau,y}) U(\tau) \Omega \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Using the Semiclassical Commutator Bounds

- Have to extract a factor ε from the last expression,

$$\frac{1}{N} \int dx dy V(x-y) \langle U(\tau)\Omega, a(v_{\tau,x})a(u_{\tau,x})a(v_{\tau,y})a(u_{\tau,y})U(\tau)\Omega \rangle.$$

Using the Semiclassical Commutator Bounds

- Have to extract a factor ε from the last expression,

$$\frac{1}{N} \int dx dy V(x-y) \langle U(\tau) \Omega, a(v_{\tau,x}) a(u_{\tau,x}) a(v_{\tau,y}) a(u_{\tau,y}) U(\tau) \Omega \rangle.$$

- Recall: $v_x(y) = \sum_{j=1}^N \varphi_j(y) \varphi_j(x)$ and $u_x(y) = \delta(y-x) - \sum_{j=1}^N \varphi_j(y) \bar{\varphi}_j(x)$.

$$\text{Thus } \int dx v_{\tau,x} u_{\tau,x} = 0.$$

But there is $V(x-y)$ in the way.

Using the Semiclassical Commutator Bounds

- Have to extract a factor ε from the last expression,

$$\frac{1}{N} \int dx dy V(x-y) \langle U(\tau) \Omega, a(v_{\tau,x}) a(u_{\tau,x}) a(v_{\tau,y}) a(u_{\tau,y}) U(\tau) \Omega \rangle.$$

- Recall: $v_x(y) = \sum_{j=1}^N \varphi_j(y) \varphi_j(x)$ and $u_x(y) = \delta(y-x) - \sum_{j=1}^N \varphi_j(y) \bar{\varphi}_j(x)$.

$$\text{Thus } \int dx v_{\tau,x} u_{\tau,x} = 0.$$

But there is $V(x-y)$ in the way. \leadsto Commute u_{τ} and V .

Using the Semiclassical Commutator Bounds

- Have to extract a factor ε from the last expression,

$$\frac{1}{N} \int dx dy V(x-y) \langle U(\tau) \Omega, a(v_{\tau,x}) a(u_{\tau,x}) a(v_{\tau,y}) a(u_{\tau,y}) U(\tau) \Omega \rangle.$$

- Recall: $v_x(y) = \sum_{j=1}^N \varphi_j(y) \varphi_j(x)$ and $u_x(y) = \delta(y-x) - \sum_{j=1}^N \varphi_j(y) \bar{\varphi}_j(x)$.

$$\text{Thus } \int dx v_{\tau,x} u_{\tau,x} = 0.$$

But there is $V(x-y)$ in the way. \leadsto Commute u_{τ} and V .

- Use Fourier $V(x-y) = \int dp \hat{V}(p) e^{ip \cdot x} e^{-ip \cdot y}$:

$$\int dx v_{\tau,x} e^{ip \cdot x} u_{\tau,x} = \int dx v_{\tau,x} [e^{ip \cdot \hat{x}}, u_{\tau,x}] = \int dx v_{\tau,x} \underbrace{[e^{ip \cdot \hat{x}}, N\omega_{\tau}]}_{\text{extract } \sqrt{\varepsilon}}(\cdot, x).$$



Conclusions

- Hartree-Fock theory \sim Restriction to Slater determinants.
 - Fermionic mean-field scaling is coupled to semiclassical scaling.
 - Controlling arbitrary times uses semiclassical commutator bounds, which hold for examples of initial data.
 - Convenient language: particle-hole transformations.
-
- Stationary properties: Semiclassical commutator bounds in general initial data? Excitation spectrum?
 - Dynamical properties: Coulomb interaction? Gravitational collapse of stars? BCS theory of superconductivity/atomic nuclei?